

**From:** <thomasrfogg@comcast.net>  
**To:** Please use this email address to submit EIS scoping comments <MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>, Please use this email address to submit EIS scoping comments <MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>, <MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>  
**Date:** 1/29/2009 7:04 PM  
**Subject:** Aldercrest Annex site

The proposal of the Aldercrest Annex as a possible site for a jail is ludicrous. It is the only proposed site surrounded by residential neighborhoods. There is a school directly across the street. There are churches and mosques within yards or the property. The infrastructure concerning roadways, utilities, and wetlands runoff can't possibly support the site without tremendous renovation with obvious detriment to the surrounding environment. In addition, let us not forget that the property not only does not belong to the county, it is not for sale.

There was obviously no legitimate thought put into this selection by anyone with an ounce of common sense, moral obligation, or fiscal foresight. Had the county been presented with the idea of putting a strip mall, hotel, convention center, or any other private enterprise proposal at the site, the county would have surely shot said proposal down for all of the reasons I have mentioned. However, because it is to the county's benefit to put a jail here it is OK? Are you serious? Jails house individuals convicted of crimes. Remember? Or was that lost in the thought process (or lack of it) somewhere?

Here is a question for the group to answer. If this selection is so great, why does the group of individuals who submitted and approved this site keep themselves as anonymous as they possibly can? Allow me to supply you with the answer. When someone does something they are ashamed of, they often hide their identity. Before your group allows me to submit a comment I must make my personal information public or my comment won't be accepted. Yet I, as well as many others like me, am not ashamed to do so.

**From:** "Greg Gannon" <gannongw@comcast.net>  
**To:** <MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>  
**Date:** 1/29/2009 7:50 PM  
**Subject:** Remove Shoreline Aldercrest Annex from Jail Site List

To whom it may concern:

I am a Shoreline property owner, 19524 23rd Avenue NE, and am appalled at the notion of having a jail so close to my property. This area is almost entirely residential. Why would we deliberately put a 640 bed jail in the midst of single family residences and across the street from an existing school? And why pick a spot that is nearly 10 miles from Seattle central? I have a territorial view of this area and would prefer it not be replaced by a jail! A city or public park makes sense for this area; a jail does not. I implore you to remove the Aldercrest Annex site from the list of potential jail sites.

Sincerely,

Greg Gannon

425 890 6912

**From:** Sing Wee <sing\_wee@yahoo.com>  
**To:** <MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>, <kathy.lambert@kingcounty.gov>, <jane.hague@kingcounty.gov>, <bob.ferguson@kingcounty.gov>  
**CC:** Michelle-home Wee <Wee.Michelle@gmail.com>, Eve Rallo <eve2apple@gmail.com>, Brett <v-brech@microsoft.com>, Mike Shin <mishin@microsoft.com>, Sumaira Qureshi <sumaira74@gmail.com>, <board@affinitykirkland.com>  
**Date:** 1/29/2009 8:06 PM  
**Subject:** FW: Jail in Kirkland/Redmond--Comment Period Ends Jan. 30

Hello!

We're currently out of the country on holiday, but live very close to the Unincorporated King County candidate site, and just learned about this.

We wanted to voice our concern with this proposal. We understand that no one wants a jail near them; however, we wanted to highlight some reasons that affect us directly as well as those in our immediate community (we are not representing others in this email, although they may share similar sentiments; we've cc'd a few of our neighbors here):

- We have a daycare directly outside our residence with an easily accessible playground area. Parents would likely want to reconsider having their children so close to a jail facility.
- We commute by bus to work on a regular basis (these buses run by/near the proposed area). Having greater potential for higher crime on those bus routes (especially later at night) would cause us to have to reconsider our transportation options.
- There have been plans to redevelop the Totem Lake Mall area, and having a jail facility so close would greatly impact plans for the area, including public perception of the area (being very near the jail) and, of course, safety.
- Because this area is unincorporated, without its own dedicated police force and with a county Sherriff's office that is responsible for a larger area (this is our understanding), we're concerned about a "not our problem" mentality from surrounding city police forces that would be responsible for the area "on paper only." Such a "dead zone" would undoubtedly allow for crime rates to increase at a greater rate than areas with dedicated, more focused police forces.
- There are multiple small parks in the Kirkland/Redmond area, and people will not feel safe (or at least think twice about) venturing into these parks, especially after dusk, thereby affecting the family-friendly communities that we currently have.
- Our ungated parking facilities are wide open to the public, and we have already noticed some broken windshields in some areas of the parking lot. Our concern is the potential increase in these types of crimes.

We understand that similar concerns will be voiced by residents of the other candidate site areas, but wanted to make our apprehensions known, especially since the candidate site near us is unincorporated, and most likely underrepresented in discussions.

We would sign a petition against such a jail in our area, if such a petition existed.

Thanks,  
Sing and Michelle Wee  
206-403-0464

> Sent: Wednesday, January 28, 2009 4:32 PM  
> Subject: Jail in Kirkland/Redmond--Comment Period Ends Jan.  
> 30  
>  
> King County wants to build a new jail. It's selected  
> six sites: three in Seattle, one in Bellevue, one in  
> Shoreline, and one in unincorporated King County (east of  
> Totem Lake Mall in north Kirkland/Redmond area). It's  
> the last location about which several PTA units are  
> concerned. Because the site happens to be in an  
> unincorporated area, e.g. no city council governance, many  
> schools believe there is little or no representation for  
> them at the deliberations. In other words, that area needs

- > residents to Speak Up.
- >
- > Below is some information gleaned from several emails,
- > along with web sites. If you see the map, you'll
- > understand the location/impact better. Also below is the
- > contact information for the three King County Councilmembers
- > whose residents will be affected.
- >
- > Thank you to Helen Keller Elementary PTA BOD member Deanna
- > Crowe and Kamiakin Principal Joe Joss and the Kamiakin PTA
- > for keeping us informed of these developments.
- >
- > The email address to write is:
- > MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov<mailto:MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>
- >
- > The website for more jail information is:
- > <http://www.necmunicipaljail.org/>
- >
- > Site List with Addresses:
- > <http://www.necmunicipaljail.org/SiteSelection.htm>
- >
- > North Kirkland/Redmond site map:
- > [http://www.necmunicipaljail.org/docs/Unincorporated\\_King\\_County.pdf](http://www.necmunicipaljail.org/docs/Unincorporated_King_County.pdf)
- >
- > The deadline for comments about the jail they are
- > considering down the hill at the bottom of 132nd is January
- > 30th. They want comments as to why environmentally this is
- > not a good location, in regards to:
- > ?? Police
- > ?? Schools
- > ?? Parks or other recreational facilities
- > ?? Transportation systems
- > ?? Vehicular trips
- > ?? Waterborne, rail, and air traffic
- > ?? Parking
- > ?? Movement/circulation of people or goods
- > ?? Traffic hazards
- > ?? Noise
- > ?? Risk of explosion
- > ?? Releases or potential releases to the environment
- > affecting public health
- > (i.e., toxic or hazardous materials)
- > ?? Surface water movement/quantity/quality
- > ?? Runoff/absorption
- >
- > The dividing line between the two districts in 132nd Ave
- > NE. The potential jail site is in Ms. Lambert's district
- > 3 (South of 132nd) and Ms. Hague's district 6 (North of
- > 132nd). Residents of district 1 (Mr. Ferguson) could also
- > be impacted. Everyone's contact information is below.
- >
- >
- > Kathy Lambert
- > Council District 3
- > 516 Third Ave., Rm. 1200
- > Seattle, WA 98104
- > Phone: 206-296-1003
- > Toll Free: 800-325-6165
- > TTY/TDD: 206-296-1024
- > Fax: 206-296-0198
- > [kathy.lambert@kingcounty.gov](mailto:kathy.lambert@kingcounty.gov)<mailto:kathy.lambert@kingcounty.gov>

>  
> Jane Hague  
> Council District 6  
> 516 Third Ave., Rm. 1200  
> Seattle, WA 98104  
> Phone: 206-296-1006  
> Toll Free: 800-325-6165  
> TTY/TDD: 206-296-1024  
> Fax: 206-296-0398  
> jane.hague@kingcounty.gov<mailto:jane.hague@kingcounty.gov>  
>  
> Bob Ferguson  
> Council District 1  
> 516 Third Ave., Rm. 1200  
> Seattle, WA 98104  
> Phone: 206-296-1001  
> Toll Free: 800-325-6165  
> TTY/TDD: 206-296-1024  
> Fax: 206-296-0198  
> bob.ferguson@kingcounty.gov<mailto:bob.ferguson@kingcounty.gov>