

From: Les Nelson <froggy680@msn.com>
To: <municipaljailsepa@seattle.gov>
Date: 1/21/2009 4:42 PM
Subject: SEPA comments

COMMENTS:

Being classified as an essential public facility, the concept of providing a jail site in the City of Shoreline should have been introduced into the Comprehensive Plan and process. Siting a jail in Shoreline is not a new concept, and City planners had adequate advance notice to bring this issue forward as a proposed Comprehensive Plan amendment.

This vital and required step in the process was not done in the City of Shoreline.

As a result, the public has been unaware of past meetings and decisions made for selecting a possible site in Shoreline.

This defies the concept of public participation and Public Notification that is at the very core of values for the Growth Management Act, and as required by State Law prescribed in that act (RCW36.70A)

As such, the proposed site in the City of Shoreline needs to be removed from the list of possible sites until City Planners can introduce the concept properly to the public for involvement and comply with State Law.

Les Nelson,
15340 Stone Ave N.
Shoreline, WA 98133

e mail: froggy680@msn.com

From: "JAN NELSON" <j4nelson42@comcast.net>
To: <MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>
Date: 1/21/2009 4:54 PM
Subject: Proposed Shoreline Jail site

I am concerned about one of the proposed jail sites being in Shoreline. I live two blocks from this site and walk by it frequently on walks and use the track for walking. There are always people at that location: walking, running, playing soccer or other games any time of the day. This is a residential neighborhood. There are single family residents and apartments all around the property and a school across the street. I bought my home because of the privacy of the neighborhood and the comfort of living here. I would not feel comfortable with a jail two blocks away, especially for people who have committed misdemeanors. I believe those incarcerated would have access to the neighborhood.

There must be another location in King County that is not right in the middle of a quiet, lovely, residential neighborhood. There is a park called Brugger's Bog with a stream. This proposed construction would have an impact on this area. Thank You

Jan Nelson

20035 24th Ave. NE

Shoreline, WA 98155

From: IAN WALKER <ianandjean@verizon.net>
To: <MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>
Date: 1/21/2009 9:44 PM
Subject: Additional jail capacity

Hi,

Take a look at some of the schools that will be closed. There is space and facilities that could be renovated into minimum security facilities.

Ian Walker
Shoreline

From: Dan Mullins <danieljmullins@comcast.net>
To: <sepaunit@ecy.wa.gov>, <steve.bottheim@kingcounty.gov>, <MunicipalJailSEPA@Seattle.gov>, <valeriegd@cted.wa.gov>
Date: 1/22/2009 11:08 AM
Subject: Question about Scoping meeting transcripts
Attachments: Part.001

My name is Dan Mullins and I am the incoming Chair of the Highland Park Action Committee.

I spoke recently at the EIS Scoping meeting on 1/13, held at South Seattle Community College and I wanted to make you aware of an email I received from the court reporter at that meeting.

The following is a copy of that email:

Mr. Mullins,

This is Katherine Cullman. I am the court reporter for the 1-13-09 Scoping meeting.

I need some help with something you said during your comments. I will write what

I have and hopefully you can fill in the blanks.

...including the studies of the effects of the liquid faction process, the satiated effect which is the movement of water back and forth, the ????????? (Sounds like LEHAR effects) and the...

As these comments need to be produced in a timely fashion, thank you for your prompt reply.

Katherine Cullman, CCR 3001

She seems very professional and polite and that is always appreciated. I was pleased that she wrote seeking my help with her question. My problem is not that she didn't understand my mention of the word "Lohar" which she heard as Lehar, but that she obviously didn't understand the term "Seiche effect" which she transcribed as "satiated effect" or "liquefaction" which she transcribed as "liquid faction".

My question is, why do you use a court reporter to record the public statements in real time when the participants are not experienced speakers and may have soft voices, erratic or nervous speech patterns or even a thick accent?

Might it not be more efficient to record all dialog and then have someone with more of an environmental/scientific background transcribe it and then make all the comments available online to the public as audio files thus keeping everything transparent.

The Seattle Channel video tapes all speakers at the public forums and posts them online in a very timely fashion, and I believe audio files would even be much simpler.

Thank you for your consideration and we remain anxious for the final EIS.

Dan Mullins
HPAC

My name is Dan Mullins and I am the incoming Chair of the Highland Park Action Committee.

I spoke recently at the EIS Scoping meeting on 1/13, held at South Seattle Community College and I wanted to make you aware of an email I received from the court reporter at that meeting.

The following is a copy of that email:

<color><param>20DA,3CD1,FFFF</param>Mr. Mullins,

This is Katherine Cullman. I am the court reporter for the 1-13-09 Scoping meeting.

I need some help with something you said during your comments. I will write what

I have and hopefully you can fill in the blanks.

<bold>...including the studies of the effects of the liquid faction process, the satiated effect which is the movement of

water back and forth, the ?????????? (Sounds like LEHAR effects) and the...

</bold>As these comments need to be produced in a timely fashion, thank you for your prompt reply.

Katherine Cullman, CCR 3001

</color>

She seems very professional and polite and that is always appreciated.

I was pleased that she wrote seeking my help with her question.

My problem is not that she didn't understand my mention of the word "Lohar" which she heard as Lehar, but that she obviously didn't understand the term "Seiche effect" which she transcribed as "satiated effect" or "liquefaction" which she transcribed as "liquid faction".

My question is, why do you use a court reporter to record the public statements in real time when the participants are not experienced speakers and may have soft voices, erratic or nervous speech patterns or even a thick accent?

Might it not be more efficient to record all dialog and then have someone with more of an environmental/scientific background transcribe

it and then make all the comments available online to the public as audio files thus keeping everything transparent.

The Seattle Channel video tapes all speakers at the public forums and posts them online in a very timely fashion, and I believe audio files would even be much simpler.

Thank you for your consideration and we remain anxious for the final EIS.

Dan Mullins

HPAC